The Necessity of Species Diversification in Aquaculture

K.Prem Kumar
Pasco Animal Nutrition Enterprises, Bhimavaram, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh.
Email: kpremkumar2871@gmail.com

Introduction

Aquaculture has grown from a traditional subsistence activity into a technologically advanced global industry. The aquaculture sector contributes significantly to global food security, providing nearly half of all fish consumed by humans. Aquaculture also plays a pivotal role in employment and livelihoods, especially in rural and coastal communities across Asia, Africa, and Latin America. Despite these achievements, the current aquaculture model faces pressing challenges. A striking feature of modern aquaculture is the heavy dependence on a narrow set of species. Globally, fewer than 20 species account for the vast majority of production. This concentration on species such as Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus), Whiteleg shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei), and various carp species has been driven by market demand, ease of breeding, and production efficiency. However, such specialization has created systemic vulnerabilities.

Monoculture systems lack ecological complexity. They rely heavily on formulated feeds, antibiotics, and chemical inputs. The absence of ecological checks and balances makes these systems prone to disease outbreaks, which can devastate entire harvests. The global shrimp industry, for example, has suffered massive losses due to Early Mortality Syndrome (EMS) and White Spot Syndrome Virus (WSSV), highlighting the fragility of single-species farming. Additionally, monocultures contribute significantly to environmental degradation through nutrient pollution, habitat destruction, and genetic homogenization. In contrast, diversified systems draw on ecological principles to build resilience and reduce dependency on intensive inputs. The idea is not new. Traditional polyculture practices in Asia such as rice fish farming have sustained communities for centuries. What's different today is the scientific understanding and technological capability to optimize these systems for modern production scales.

Still, evidence suggests that diversified systems are more productive per unit area when appropriately managed. More importantly, species diversification aligns with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), especially SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), and SDG 14 (Life Below Water). It supports circular economy principles by closing nutrient loops, minimizes waste, and reduces the need for synthetic inputs. By framing diversification as a necessity rather than a choice, this paper aims to reshape how the industry and research community envision the future of sustainable aquaculture.

2. Types of Species Diversification

Species diversification in aquaculture can be categorized by function, space, time, trophic level, and production system design. Here we explore key types:

2.1. Polyculture Systems

Polyculture involves culturing two or more aquatic species in the same water body or production unit. Indian farmers raise a mix of catla, rohu, mrigal, and common carp in the same pond. These fish occupy different niches surface, column, and bottom layers and utilize feeds differently, improving feed conversion efficiency. The advantages include better resource utilization, Higher yield per hectare, Reduced environmental load and Improved disease management.

2.2. Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA)

IMTA refers to a system in which species from different trophic levels are cultivated together so that the waste produced by one becomes input (nutrients) for another. In Canada, salmon farms integrate kelp and blue mussels downstream from net pens. This model has significantly reduced nutrient discharge into coastal ecosystems and improved the public image of salmon aquaculture. The benefits include circular nutrient economy, reduced feed costs, environmental compliance and multiple product streams.

2.3. Integrated Agriculture–Aquaculture Systems (IAA)

In IAA systems, aquaculture is linked with agriculture (crops or livestock) to create a holistic production environment. In Rice–fish culture, Fish are stocked in flooded rice fields. Their movement aerates water and reduces pests. The Benefits include Enhanced farm productivity, Efficient land use, Higher total income and Sustainable nutrient management

2.4. Temporal Diversification

Temporal diversification involves rotating different species in the same system across time, usually aligning with seasonal changes or market demands. The farmers in southern India stock milkfish in summer and switch to shrimp in monsoon due to salinity changes. The advantages include Flexibility in water use and market targeting, breaks disease cycles and Optimizes labour and input costs.

2.5. Diversification Across Environments

Some farmers diversify by using different environments on their farms like freshwater ponds for carp, brackish water tanks for shrimp and sea cages for cobia. Such landscape-based diversification spreads environmental risk and allows targeting of different markets.

3. Ecological Benefits of Species Diversification

3.1. Enhanced Ecosystem Functioning

Diversification in aquaculture mimics the complexity of natural ecosystems, which results in more stable and efficient functioning. By integrating species with different ecological roles such as grazers, filter feeders, scavengers, and producers diversified systems utilize energy and nutrients more effectively, reducing waste and pollution.

3.2. Improved Nutrient Cycling and Waste Management

Aquaculture systems typically accumulate waste products such as uneaten feed and excreta. In monocultures, these accumulate and degrade water quality. However, diversified systems utilize waste as input for other species.

3.3. Reduction of Disease Risk

Monocultures are highly susceptible to disease outbreaks due to high stocking density and genetic uniformity. Species diversification disrupts disease transmission pathways and reduces the buildup of pathogens.

3.4. Water Quality Improvement

Water quality is a critical limiting factor in intensive aquaculture. Species diversification improves water parameters by controlling nutrient loading and biological oxygen demand (BOD).

3.5. Habitat Structuring and Biodiversity Enhancement

Diversified aquaculture contributes to on-farm biodiversity and enhances habitat complexity. Different species create or modify niches, leading to secondary colonization by other organisms.

3.6. Mitigation of Invasive Species Impact

Invasive species are a growing concern in global aquaculture. Diversification can act as a natural buffer by stabilizing ecosystems and reducing resource availability for invaders.

3.7. Carbon Sequestration and Climate Benefits

Some diversified systems contribute to blue carbon storage. For example: Seaweeds and seagrasses absorb atmospheric CO_2 and can be harvested without releasing stored carbon.

4. Economic and Social Benefits

4.1. Income Diversification and Risk Reduction

Just as in investment portfolios, diversification in aquaculture reduces economic volatility. Relying on a single species exposes farmers to price crashes, disease losses, and seasonal fluctuations. Multiple species Ensure stable cash flow throughout the year, allow price hedging by targeting different consumer segments and Offer resilience to market disruptions or regulatory changes.

4.2. Employment Generation and Livelihood Support

Diversified aquaculture systems are labour-intensive and generate jobs across the value chain by hatchery management, pond preparation, species-specific feeding and harvesting and post-harvest processing. Especially in coastal and rural areas, such operations engage women in seaweed farming, mussel collection, and feed preparation, provide youth employment in logistics, retailing, and cold chain services.

4.3. Food and Nutritional Security

Different aquatic species provide different nutritional profiles like fish are rich in omega-3 fatty acids and protein, shellfish offer iron, zinc, and selenium and seaweed is high in iodine, fiber, and vitamins. When families or communities practice diversified aquaculture, they improve both dietary diversity and nutrient availability, especially in malnutrition-prone areas.

4.4. Market Expansion and Product Differentiation

Species diversification allows farms to cater to niche gourmet markets (e.g., oysters, trout), herbal/nutraceutical sectors (e.g., seaweed extracts), export markets with premium pricing and domestic retail chains requiring year-round supplies. Farmers who diversify are more likely to participate in value-added production, such as smoked fish, dried shrimp, pickled seaweed and fish sausages. These products fetch higher margins and support brand development.

4.5. Institutional Support and Access to Finance

Governments and international organizations increasingly support diversified aquaculture through subsidies for integrated systems, skill training for handling multi-species, low-interest loans for innovative farms and certification schemes (e.g., organic aquaculture). Diversified farms are viewed as climate-smart investments, helping secure funding from green finance, CSR funds, and multilateral donors. For example, India's PMMSY (Pradhan Mantri Matsya Sampada Yojana) includes incentives for polyculture and seaweed farming under climate adaptation funds.

5. Conclusion

The aquaculture industry's historical reliance on monocultures has delivered significant short-term gains but has also exposed the system to a range of long-term vulnerabilities including ecological degradation, economic fragility, and heightened disease susceptibility. In this context, species diversification is not merely an option; it is an imperative for sustainable, resilient, and equitable aquaculture development. Species diversification strengthens ecological stability by mimicking natural ecosystems, improving nutrient cycling, reducing waste, and lowering the incidence of disease. Integrated systems such as polyculture, integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA), and rotational farming demonstrate that it is possible to increase productivity while minimizing environmental impact. In summary, the necessity of aquaculture species diversification is underscored by its multifaceted benefits across ecological, economic, and societal domains. It represents the evolution of aquaculture from high-risk, input-heavy systems to adaptive, inclusive, and regenerative models. As nations seek to build sustainable aquaculture futures, diversification must become a foundational pillar driving both innovation and equity in the aquatic food landscape.

References

1. Lokesh Pawar, Mayuri Nag & M. Junaid Sidiq (2020). Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture Systems (IMTA): A Sustainable Approach for Better Resource Utilization. Journal of Aquaculture, Vol. 28, pp. 19–26.

2. G. Biswas, P. Kumar, T. K. Ghoshal, M. Kailasam, D. De, A. Bera, B. Mandal, K. Sukumaran & K. K. Vijayan (2020). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) outperforms conventional polyculture with respect to environmental remediation, productivity and economic return in brackishwater ponds. Aquaculture, Vol. 516, 734626.

3. Alokesh Kumar Ghosh, A. F. M. Hasanuzzaman, S. S. Islam, Md Golam Sarower, Shaikh Tareq Arafat & Khandaker Anisul Huq (2025). Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA): enhancing growth, production, immunological responses, and environmental management in aquaculture. Aquaculture International, 33, 336.

4. Hariom Bohare, Soumya Rai, R. P. Singh, Akshay Garg & Poonam Shakya (2025). Review on Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture System (IMTA). Veterinary Research (Vol. 10, Special Issue 3).

5. Jumah Yashier Upling (2020). A review on waste absorption efficiency of different extractive integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) species: Implications in coastal and offshore aquaculture waste management. GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 11(02), 257–264.

6. Muhammad Hanif (2022). Development of the Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) System in the World; Article Review. Journal of Aquaculture Science, Vol. 7 No. 2.

7. Rosamond L. Naylor, Rebecca J. Goldburg, Jurgenne H. Primavera, Nils Kautsky & Malcolm C. M. Beveridge (2000). Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies. Nature. Naylor and colleagues continue influential work, such as A 20 year retrospective review of global aquaculture.